

1 M. Steven Wang SBN 191168
2 City Attorney
3 Sari Myers Dierking SBN 226805
4 Asst. City Attorney
5 **City Attorney's Office**
6 **CITY OF FOLSOM**
7 50 Natoma Street
8 Folsom, CA 95630
9 TEL: 916.461.6025; FAX: 916.351.0536

10 **P O R T E R | S C O T T**
11 A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
12 John R. Whitefleet, SBN 213301
13 2180 Harvard Street, 500
14 Sacramento, California 95815
15 jwhitefleet@porterscott.com
16 TEL: (916) 929-1481, FAX: (916) 927-3706

17 Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF FOLSOM, JOSEPH HOWARD, BRIAN LOCKHART,
18 ROMAN KEHM, ZACHARY WELLS, JOHN WAGNER, BRANDON MONSOOR, PAUL
19 RICE, DEREK KOUPAL, MICHAEL AUSTIN, JOSHUA SENA, EATHAN VAVACK, JOHN
20 MONIZ

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GEORGE P. UMBERGER II, LISABETH A.
KING, SAVANNAH R. BAILEY,

CASE NO. 2:24-CV-01169-KJM-JDP

Plaintiffs,
v.
CITY OF FOLSOM, DETECTIVE JOSEPH
HOWARD, COMMANDER BRIAN
LOCKHART, SERGEANT ROMAN
KEHM, SERGEANT ZACHARY WELLS,
SERGEANT JOHN WAGNER,
SERGEANT BRANDON MONSOOR,
SERGEANT PAUL RICE, CORPORAL
DEREK KOUPAL, OFFICER MICHAEL
AUSTIN, OFFICER JOSHUA SENA,
OFFICER EATHAN VAVACK, AND
OFFICER JOHN MONIZ,

STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME
FOR DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND
TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT;
ORDER

FAC Filed: 12/04/2025

FAC Filed: 10/10/2025

Complaint Filed: 04/22/2024

Defendants.

1 Plaintiffs George P. Umberger II, Lisabeth A. King, Savannah R. Bailey, and Defendants
2 City of Folsom, Joseph Howard, Brian Lockhart, Roman Kehm, Zachary Wells, John Wagner,
3 Brandon Monsoor, Paul Rice, Derek Koupal, Michael Austin, Joshua Sena, Eathan Vavack, John
4 Moniz (“City Defendants”), by and through their respective undersigned counsel of record, hereby
5 represent to the Court and stipulate as follows:

6 1. On December 4, 2025, Plaintiffs filed their First Amended Complaint. (ECF No. 29).

7 2. The First Amended Complaint added defendant Patrick Thibeault, summons of
8 which was issued on December 8, 2025. (ECF No. 30). Service of summons has not yet been
9 affected.

10 3. The City Defendants would otherwise be required to file a response to the First
11 Amended Complaint on December 18, 2025.

12 4. The City Defendants have commenced the meet and confer process in accordance
13 with the court’s standing order in an effort to avoid motion practice.

14 5. In the interests of reduced costs to the parties and judicial economy, and to allow
15 additional time to meet and confer to the extent necessary, and to allow sufficient time for service
16 of process on added defendant Patrick Thibeault, and to avoid duplicative filings in response to the
17 First Amended Complaint, Plaintiffs have agreed to extend the time for all City Defendants to file
18 responses to Plaintiffs’ First Amended Complaint on the same date.

19 6. The parties hereby stipulate and agree that City Defendants’ deadline to file a
20 response to Plaintiffs’ First Amended Complaint shall be extended to January 16, 2026.

21 IT IS SO STIPULATED AND AGREED.

23 Dated: December 16, 2025

24 **LAW OFFICE OF SAMUEL H. PARK, APC**

25 By: /s/ Samuel H. Park (auth'd on 12/16/2025)
26 Samuel H. Park,
27 Attorney for Plaintiffs
George P. Umberger, II; Lisabeth A. King;
Savannah R. Bailey

1 Dated: December 22, 2025

**PORTER SCOTT
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION**

2
3 By /s/ John R. Whitefleet
4 John R. Whitefleet
5 Attorney for Defendants
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER

GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, Defendants' deadline to file a response to Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint shall be extended to January 16, 2026.

DATED: December 22, 2025.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE